Objections to Sizewell C planning application

The proposal for building **another** nuclear power station at Sizewell, if accepted, would not just be an enormous blot on the landscape in an area of outstanding natural beauty and scientific interest, but would be positioned on a most unsuitable part of the British coast, being known for its instability.

What was the democratic process that took us from the "Heritage Coast" to the "Energy Coast"?

This decision, taken by someone, somewhere, has brought years of anxiety and grief to most of the residents of the East Suffolk Coast. The prospect of the devastation to lives, nature and livelihoods to be wreaked by this monstrous development over many years has led most of us who have followed EDF's planning application to shake our heads in disbelief at how anyone could consider this a viable project.

Who can benefit from it, other than a foreign company?

If the reasoning behind Nuclear Energy is to fight climate change and reach carbon neutrality by 2030, how can Sizewell C, which cannot even repay its own climate impact due to its construction until 2040, help?

We have lived in the shadow of Sizewell A &B for decades. Is it not time to give this part of the world a break? There is never a guarantee of safety with nuclear power, so this whole community must be considered expendable.

If we must continue with this old-fashioned response to our energy needs, why not extend the life of Sizewell B? By 2055 either we will have more reliable and safe options for back-up power to green energy or it will be too late to worry about climate change.